QGIS Application - Bug report #7708

Union produces the wrong output (plus progress bar does not move, too big output, error messages in log)

2013-04-25 12:42 PM - Giovanni Manghi

Status: Closed

Priority: Severe/Regression

Assignee:

Category: Processing/QGIS

Affected QGIS version:master Regression:

Operating System: Easy fix:

Pull Request or Patch supplied: Resolution: fixed

Crashes QGIS or corrupts data: Copied to github as #: 16619

Description

Use the "union" with the two attached shapes "inputA" and "inputB".

On master the operation will return a warning

Warnings:

Feature geometry error: One or more output features ignored due to invalid geometry.

GEOS geoprocessing error: One or more input features have invalid geometry.

Some output geometries may be missing or invalid.

Would you like to add the new layer anyway?

even if the two input shapefiles do not have any kind of invalid geometries.

The output is missing a whole part belonging to one of the two inputs.

This warning does not show on 1.8 and the output <u>seems</u> (I underline the word **seems**) correct, but I have doubts about it. At least makes more sense than the result obtained on master.

On the attributes side the results is wrong since a long ago, see #4567

Related issues:

Related to QGIS Application - Bug report # 7823: Vector\\Data management\\Mer	Closed	2013-05-14
Related to QGIS Application - Bug report # 7428: union progress bar does not	Closed	2013-03-24
Related to QGIS Application - Bug report # 4567: Ftools - Union returns Wrong	Closed	2011-11-25
Related to QGIS Application - Bug report # 8456: Union tool produces wrong re	Closed	2013-08-12

Associated revisions

Revision 0523e898 - 2013-07-23 03:20 AM - Daniel Vaz

Partial fix #7708

History

#1 - 2013-05-10 03:25 AM - vinayan Parameswaran

some discussions on user mailing list

 $\underline{\text{http://osgeo-org.1560.x6.nabble.com/Union-Tool-Ftools-Sextante-How-should-the-real-result-be-tp5051653.html} \\$

2024-05-06 1/3

#2 - 2013-07-15 11:34 AM - Giovanni Manghi

Done again a round of tests, using the attached dataset, on the geometry side:

- the result in master is (still) completely wrong
- in QGIS 1.8 the result is also wrong, but less evident: have a look to a an accepted definition of "union"

http://resources.arcgis.com/en/help/main/10.1/index.html#//00080000010000000

and you will see that where features are overlapping, then in the union it is expected to have duplicated feature. In the attached result "union18", produced by QGIS 1.8, there areas where it is supposed to have overlapped/repeated features originating from overlapped parts in the input layers.

#3 - 2013-07-15 11:39 AM - Giovanni Manghi

See this #4567 and #4567-30 for a discussion about the resulting attributes.

#4 - 2013-07-15 11:45 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Subject changed from union produces the wrong output on master to Union produces the wrong output (plus progress bar does not move, too big output, error messages in log)

Files attached to #7428 do show also other issues:

the progress bar does not move, the log full of messages like

Feature geometry not imported (OGR error:)

 $\label{lem:condition} \textit{Feature creation error (OGR error: Pointer 'hFeat' is NULL in 'OGR_L_SetFeature'.}$

and a way too big output, 282MB for 2MB worth of inputs.

See also #7428

#5 - 2013-07-22 06:26 PM - Daniel Vaz

Please see: https://github.com/qgis/Quantum-GIS/pull/760

It fix partially this issue

#6 - 2013-07-23 02:27 AM - Giovanni Manghi

Daniel Vaz wrote:

Please see: https://github.com/qgis/Quantum-GIS/pull/760

It fix partially this issue

2024-05-06 2/3

Olá Daniel,

many thanks for your work!

I will test the patch, anyway the result of the union operation is (was) wrong also on qgis 1.8. The the above link (from an esri manual, but it could be other software/gis manual) about a common accepted definition of what the result should be.

#7 - 2013-07-23 08:51 AM - Daniel Vaz

Hi Giovanni, I am glad, in trying to help the QGIS community, in fixing bugs.

Thanks for the link, I need some time to read, comprehend and try to implement a good solution.

I hope that partial fix, may help QGIS users.

Thanks in advance

#8 - 2013-08-08 02:41 AM - Alexander Bruy

Merged. Thanks, Daniel!

BTW, maybe it is better to close this ticket and open another one about incorrect union results?

#9 - 2013-08-08 03:07 AM - Daniel Vaz

Yes, probably it is a good thing open a specific ticket about wrong union results and cite this ticket or move a test data set to the new one.

Thanks in advance

#10 - 2013-08-12 04:26 AM - Alexander Bruy

- Status changed from Open to Closed
- Resolution set to fixed

Closing this issue because commit:3e25f11845 "fixes" this tool (it produces now same result as 1.8 and progressbar works fine). New issue about union results is open, see #8456

#11 - 2017-05-01 01:21 AM - Giovanni Manghi

The "ftools" category is being removed from the tracker, changing the category of this ticket to "Processing/QGIS" to not leave the category orphaned.

Files

union.zip 231 KB 2013-04-25 Giovanni Manghi

2024-05-06 3/3