

QGIS Application - Feature request #2123

wrong projection information when creating a new shapefile

2009-11-19 08:55 AM - cmoe -

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Low	
Assignee:	Jürgen Fischer	
Category:	Data Provider	
Pull Request or Patch supplied:		Resolution: fixed
Easy fix?:	No	Copied to github as #: 12183
Description (This bug may be related to #1943 and also to #1889, if it isn't even the same.) Im working with crs epsg 21781. If I create a new shapefile with the "new vector layer" tool, the shapefile is missing the towgs84 part in its crs parameters. <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Open Qgis2. in project properties set tht crs to epsg 21781/CH1903 (Enable 'on the fly' is also set).3. in the settings->options->crs set "project wide default crs will be used"4. with the "new vector layer"-button create a new shapefile and save it.5. load the created shapefile into qgis6. open the properties of the new shapefile -> the crs of the shapefile is missing the towgs84 parameter and therefore it displays on a wrong place in the canvas. I attach the debug output from the console when creating a new shapefile in this way. You will see that from line 1 to 14 all output concernig crs has the towgs84 information. As from line 41 on the towgs84 part is missing. So it seems to be a problem of the qgsvectorprovider or ogr. The crs in the srs.db is ok, also the epsg file of proj. Currently I'm running r12143. regards Cedric		

Associated revisions

Revision 5d40608f - 2009-11-25 11:37 PM - Jürgen Fischer

[FEATURE] allow specification of CRS when creating shape files (also fixes #2123)

git-svn-id: <http://svn.osgeo.org/qgis/trunk/qgis@12259> c8812cc2-4d05-0410-92ff-de0c093fc19c

Revision 83d6e0ca - 2009-11-25 11:37 PM - Jürgen Fischer

[FEATURE] allow specification of CRS when creating shape files (also fixes #2123)

git-svn-id: <http://svn.osgeo.org/qgis/trunk@12259> c8812cc2-4d05-0410-92ff-de0c093fc19c

Revision cf0f00db - 2009-11-28 05:11 PM - Jürgen Fischer

shapefile projection fix: save the real projection in .qpj next to the .prj file (fixes #2123 and #2154)

git-svn-id: <http://svn.osgeo.org/qgis/trunk/qgis@12274> c8812cc2-4d05-0410-92ff-de0c093fc19c

Revision 1712e428 - 2009-11-28 05:11 PM - Jürgen Fischer

shapefile projection fix: save the real projection in .qpj next to the .prj file (fixes #2123 and #2154)

git-svn-id: <http://svn.osgeo.org/qgis/trunk/qgis@12274> c8812cc2-4d05-0410-92ff-de0c093fc19c

History

#1 - 2009-11-20 11:51 AM - cmoe -

I stripped the problem down to the call of OGR_DS_CreateLayer of the createEmptyDataSource method in qgsogrprovider.cpp (around line 1525).

The reference (OGRSpatialReferenceH) we are passing seems to be ok, but the returning layer (OGRLayerH) is missing the towgs84 part in his srs. This seems to be intentional of ogr because OGR_DS_CreateLayer calls morphToESRI() for the srs (line 568 in gdal/ogr/ogrsf_frmts/shape/ogrshapedatasource.cpp). morphToESRI() removes the towgs84 parameter.

This does not happen, if I export a postgis layer as shapefile or an existing shapefile. But this is done in different way by the [[QgsVectorFileWriter]]::writeAsShapefile(). qgsvectorfilewriter.cpp says at line 397, that it doesn't strip the towgs84 parameter.

Would it be possible, to use the [[QgsVectorFileWriter]] in the createEmptyDataSource method? According to comment qgsvectorfilewriter.cpp we are already broken with relying on ESRI style prj-files.

#2 - 2009-11-23 03:56 AM - cmoe -

I understand the following now: If we do writeAsShapefile() ogr responds also with a wrong prj file. But after the ogr call qgis just overwrites the prj file with a correct version.

So we may do the same for creating an empty data source. A patch is provided in the attachments. Please may someone have a look at it, and, if appropriate, apply it.

#3 - 2009-11-25 07:58 AM - Marco Hugentobler

Hi Cedric

Thank you for the patch. It might be good to test if format is shapefile, since the method could also be used for other formats (even if this is not the case at the moment).

Otherwise +1 for me to apply the patch.

I'm assigning the ticket to Jürgen, since he is the OGR guru in the dev team.

Regards,
Marco

#4 - 2009-11-25 09:02 AM - cmoe -

Hi Marco

I added a test if it's a ESRI Shapefile to the patch. I'm not sure if this the right way to do it, but it works :-)
I added also a little more robustnes to the writing of the new file.

#5 - 2009-11-25 02:38 PM - Jürgen Fischer

- *Resolution set to fixed*
- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

"applied" in commit:83d6e0ca (SVN r12260).

Files			
debugoutput_new_shapfile.txt	33 KB	2009-11-19	cmoe -
fix_wrong_prj_files.diff	1.02 KB	2009-11-25	cmoe -