QGIS Application - Bug report #16692 Pb 0 instead of NULL when creating a new SHP field

2017-06-09 11:02 AM - Etienne MORO

Closed			
High			
Attribute table			
ion: 2.14.15	Regression?:	Yes	
Windows	Easy fix?:	No	
chswlopplied:	Resolution:	fixed/implemented	
Crashes QGIS or corruptesdata:		Copied to github as #: 24591	
	High Attribute table sion:2.14.15 Windows tch supplied:	High Attribute table sion:2.14.15 Regression?: Windows Easy fix?: tch supplied: Resolution:	High Attribute table sion:2.14.15 Regression?: Yes Windows Easy fix?: No tch sWpplied: Resolution: fixed/implemented

Description

In QGIS 2.14.15, with a SHP layer, when I create a new field (type real for example), when I save the modifications, all the values of this new field become 0.00 instead of keeping a NULL value. It's very annoying when you use this field with labeling placement, because all the labels are drawn at 0,0 instead of keeping an automatic placement whent it's a NULL value. This bug is not present in QGIS 2.14.14 nor in QGIS 2.18.9. Best regards.

History

#1 - 2017-06-09 11:06 AM - Regis Haubourg

- Status changed from Open to Feedback

Hi Etienne,

shp can't handle NULL values, see: http://support.esri.com/technical-article/000005811

Maybe in previous version QGIS stored NULL until a real commit was made to the dbf and then it was converted back to 0. The behavior now is better, because it is less tricky.

I you need to handle NULL, consider using GPKG, spatialite, Postgis or any younger format than the old shp (the humoristic way to advertise it is here: https://twitter.com/shapefile :))

#2 - 2017-06-09 11:39 AM - Etienne MORO

- Status changed from Feedback to Open

Hello Regis,

I understand your explanation, but there is the same problem with en Gpkg file. So I think this is a real bug (not only for SHP files).

#3 - 2017-06-09 05:51 PM - Jürgen Fischer

Does this also apply to the 2.14 nightlies (qgis-ltr-dev) in OSGeo4W? Sounds like an issue related to GDAL/OGR 2.2, which should be fixed with commit:5fdde8a5ba89e4bb4ba4e008979a6b67742df9b0.

#4 - 2017-06-19 05:59 PM - Steve Lowman

I agree this is a real problem change, particularly for label placement in layers with many features. Suddenly we have a big problem, where there was

never a problem before. Sorry I have nothing more constructive to contribute, just suggesting high priority is appropriate for this. Any confirmation it will be fixed in 2.14.16?

#5 - 2017-07-04 02:39 PM - Etienne MORO

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Checked in QGis 2.14.16: no more problems. The bug seems to be corrected.

#6 - 2017-07-05 09:36 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Resolution set to fixed/implemented