QGIS Application - Bug report #10537

Converting offline twice within the same instance causing unusable offline state

2014-06-10 10:58 AM - Drew Nix

Status: Closed

Priority: Severe/Regression
Assignee: Alessandro Pasotti

Category: C++ plugins/Offline editing

Affected QGIS version:masterRegression?:NoOperating System:Easy fix?:No

Pull Request or Patch shapplied: Resolution:

Crashes QGIS or corruptesdata: Copied to github as #: 18945

Description

Problem Description:

Converting offline twice within the same running project instance causes unusable offline state.

Problem Result:

After which the "Convert to Offline Project" is grayed out. The project will no longer synchronize and the project is stuck in an Offline State.

If the project is saved, upon opening the same scenario applies.

The only fix to this is to open the project file in a text editor and delete the offline information and save the file. This is impossible for most of our users.

Steps to reproduce:

Open Qgis

Add PostGIS vector layer

Database > Offline Editing > Convert to Offline Project

Offline data: C:/OSGEO4W/bin/offline.sqlite

Select PostGIS vector layer

Database > Offline Editing > Syncronize

Database > Offline Editing > Convert to Offline Project

Offline data: C:/OSGEO4W/bin/offline.sqlite (Same dataset)

Errors:

table 'tog indices' already exists

table 'log_layer_ids' already exists

table 'log_fids' already exists

table 'log_added_attrs' already exists

table 'log_added_features' already exists

table 'log_removed_features' already exists

table 'log feature updates' already exists

table 'log_geometry_updates' already exists

table '<PostGIS_LAYER>' already exists

Associated revisions

Revision f045492e - 2016-04-22 08:48 AM - Alessandro Pasotti

[bugfix] offline editing converting offline twice

2024-04-20 1/4

Fixes #10537: Converting offline twice within the same instance causing unusable offline state.

The problem was due to spatialite connection not being invalidated. When the new offline layer is re-created the provider connection doesn't pick the latest commits.

Funded by Boundless

Revision fa9862b2 - 2016-04-22 10:02 AM - Alessandro Pasotti

[bugfix] offline editing converting offline twice

Fixes #10537: Converting offline twice within the same instance causing unusable offline state.

The problem was due to spatialite connection not being invalidated. When the new offline layer is re-created the provider connection doesn't pick the latest commits.

Funded by Boundless

(cherry-picked from f045492)

History

#1 - 2014-06-11 12:13 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Operating System deleted (Windows 7)
- OS version deleted (64 bit)

On Linux I can't confirm the error messages and the offline db in a unusable state, but I can confirm that overwriting an already existing offline db with a new one causes strange things to happen (the most obvious is the loss of features). If each time layer(s) are placed off-line is used a new offline db (no overwriting) then things are ok.

#2 - 2014-06-20 08:46 AM - Jürgen Fischer

- Category set to C++ Plugins

#3 - 2014-06-28 07:45 AM - Jürgen Fischer

- Target version changed from Version 2.4 to Future Release - High Priority

#4 - 2014-06-29 06:39 AM - Jürgen Fischer

- Category changed from C++ Plugins to C++ plugins/Offline editing

#5 - 2014-10-26 09:29 AM - Jürgen Fischer

- Subject changed from Offline Editing - Converting offline twice within the same instance causing unusable offline state to Converting offline twice within the same instance causing unusable offline state

#6 - 2014-10-30 10:55 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Priority changed from High to Severe/Regression
- Target version changed from Future Release High Priority to Version 2.6

2024-04-20 2/4

found that this is a regression since qgis 2.0.1, where overwriting the already existing offline db worked without issues.

Moreover now on QGIS master on Linux it causes a crash

Warning: Object::disconnect: Unexpected null parameter Warning: Object::disconnect: Unexpected null parameter

Warning: Object::disconnect: Unexpected null parameter

Fatal: ASSERT failure in QList<T>::at: "index out of range", file /usr/include/qt4/QtCore/qlist.h, line 469

QGIS died on signal -1Could not attach to process. If your uid matches the uid of the target

process, check the setting of /proc/sys/kernel/yama/ptrace_scope, or try again as the root user. For more details, see /etc/sysctl.d/10-ptrace.conf ptrace: Operation not permitted.

No thread selected

No stack.

gdb returned 0

Aborted

#7 - 2014-10-31 05:55 AM - Jürgen Fischer

- Target version changed from Version 2.6 to Future Release - High Priority

#8 - 2015-05-28 08:27 AM - Matthias Kuhn

- Status changed from Open to Feedback
 - Concerning the crash, which operation have you been performing?
- We could delete the offline db after going online. Or rename it to a backup copy which will eventually be overwritten on the next sync. That way one would always have a backup copy. Although I am not sure if it will be that easy to recover information from it.
 - When going offline and the target file already exists, I think a warning should be raised, asking for confirmation if it's ok to overwrite.
 - In the future this should possibly be enhanced with a recovery option to synchronized leftover data in not-yet (fully) synchronized offline databases.

Comments?

#9 - 2015-05-29 07:40 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Status changed from Feedback to Open

Matthias Kuhn wrote:

- Concerning the crash, which operation have you been performing?

2024-04-20 3/4

nothing special, adding/editing one feature.

- We could delete the offline db after going online. Or rename it to a backup copy which will eventually be overwritten on the next sync. That way one would always have a backup copy. Although I am not sure if it will be that easy to recover information from it.
 - When going offline and the target file already exists, I think a warning should be raised, asking for confirmation if it's ok to overwrite.
- In the future this should possibly be enhanced with a recovery option to synchronized leftover data in not-yet (fully) synchronized offline databases.

Comments?

it seems to me a good plan:)

#10 - 2015-05-29 08:08 AM - Drew Nix

What about a fail-back as well (to a proper online state) if converting offline fails?

-- happy to see some activity here ;)

#11 - 2016-04-14 09:49 AM - Alessandro Pasotti

- Assignee set to Alessandro Pasotti

#12 - 2016-04-21 11:49 PM - Anonymous

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Fixed in changeset commit:"f045492ed54a528263444824e7bbf3317d6d80e2".

2024-04-20 4/4