

QGIS Application - Feature request #10251

Processing - Order Model saves values

2014-05-13 07:38 AM - Antonio Locandro

Status: Rejected	
Priority: Normal	
Assignee: Victor Olaya	
Category: Processing/Modeller	
Pull Request or Patch supplied:	Resolution: wontfix
Easy fix?: No	Copied to github as #: 18688
Description	
<p>This is how model saves the order of values</p> <pre>PARAMETER:ParameterVector VECTORLAYER_INPUTROUTEVECTOR input_route_vector 1 False 167.0,60.0 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_0===2 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_0===0 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_0===6 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_0===calc_dist ALGORITHM:qgis:addfieldtoattributetable 168.0,160.0</pre> <p>However the algorithm shows this order in screen</p> <p>Field Name Field Type Field Length Field Precision Output layer</p> <p>It would be very useful in order to check models that values where saved in the same order as presented in screen</p> <pre>PARAMETER:ParameterVector VECTORLAYER_INPUTROUTEVECTOR input_route_vector 1 False 167.0,60.0 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_0===calc_dist VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_0===0 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_0===6 VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_0===2 ALGORITHM:qgis:addfieldtoattributetable 168.0,160.0</pre>	

History

#1 - 2014-05-13 07:47 AM - Antonio Locandro

This is another sample

```
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_1===1
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_2===2
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_2===1
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_0===2
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_0===1
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_2===diff_dist
```

VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_0===calc_dist
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_1===text_dist
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_2===6
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_1===6
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_0===6
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_1===2

A little bit hard to check things since they aren't grouped or in order by layer, this would be easier

VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_0===calc_dist
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_0===1
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_0===6
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_0===2
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_1===text_dist
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_1===1
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_1===6
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_1===2
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_NAME_2===diff_dist
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_TYPE_2===1
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_LENGTH_2===6
VALUE:HARDCODEDPARAMVALUE_FIELD_PRECISION_2===2

#2 - 2014-05-13 11:33 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Category set to 64

#3 - 2014-10-04 12:16 PM - Giovanni Manghi

- Category deleted (64)

- Project changed from 78 to QGIS Application

#4 - 2014-10-04 12:17 PM - Giovanni Manghi

- Category set to Processing/Modeller

#5 - 2014-10-04 12:18 PM - Giovanni Manghi

- Assignee set to Victor Olaya

#6 - 2014-11-22 03:12 AM - Alexander Bruy

- Status changed from Open to Rejected

- Resolution set to wontfix

This makes no sense, while models itself works as expected

#7 - 2014-11-22 02:09 PM - Antonio Locandro

Does make sense that it saves in the same order the modeler asks for parameter, especially when debugging when processing fails to work or has bugs, however it seems there is no interest in changing its current behaviour so I will have to live with it

Files

order.png	25.6 KB	2014-05-13	Antonio Locandro
-----------	---------	------------	------------------